

ECE 225

High-Speed Digital IC Design

Lecture 5

Interconnect Technology and Scaling-1


Prof. Kaustav Banerjee
Electrical and Computer Engineering
E-mail: kaustav@ece.ucsb.edu

Outline

□ Interconnect and Technology Scaling


- ➔ ■ Interconnect technology: background and trends
- Interconnect Parasitics: R-L-C
- Elmore Delay Formalism
- Interconnect Scaling: implications for technology and design

Interconnect Impact on Chip



Interconnect delay has become the dominant factor determining chip performance.....

Wire Vs Gate Delay.....



Interconnect delay has become the dominant factor determining chip performance...

K. Banerjee et al., Proc. IEEE, May 2001.

Impact of Interconnect Parasitics


❑ Interconnect parasitics

- affect performance, noise immunity and power consumption
- affect reliability

❑ Classes of parasitics

- Resistive
- Capacitive
- Inductive


Wire Resistance



$$R = \frac{\rho L}{HW}$$

Sheet Resistance
 R_0

*Unit of
"Ω/sq" or
"Ω/□"*



Easier to compare wires with different (but uniform) thicknesses...

Interconnect Material Resistivity

Material	ρ ($\Omega\text{-m}$)
Silver (Ag)	1.6×10^{-8}
Copper (Cu)	1.7×10^{-8}
Gold (Au)	2.2×10^{-8}
Aluminum (Al)	2.7×10^{-8}
Tungsten (W)	5.5×10^{-8}

Sheet Resistance

Material	Sheet Resistance ($\Omega/\square$)
n- or p-well diffusion	1000 – 1500
n^+ , p^+ diffusion	50 – 150
n^+ , p^+ diffusion with silicide	3 – 5
n^+ , p^+ polysilicon	150 – 200
n^+ , p^+ polysilicon with silicide	4 – 5
Aluminum	0.05 – 0.1

Dealing with Resistance

- ❑ **Selective Technology Scaling**
- ❑ **Use Better Interconnect Materials**
 - reduce average wire-length
 - e.g. copper, silicides
- ❑ **More Interconnect Layers**
 - reduce average wire-length

Example: Intel 0.25 micron Process


5 metal layers

Ti/Al - Cu/Ti/TiN

Polysilicon dielectric

LAYER	PITCH	THICK	A.R.
Isolation	0.67	0.40	-
Polysilicon	0.64	0.25	-
Metal 1	0.64	0.48	1.5
Metal 2	0.93	0.90	1.9
Metal 3	0.93	0.90	1.9
Metal 4	1.60	1.33	1.7
Metal 5	2.56	1.90	1.5
	μm	μm	

Layer pitch, thickness and aspect ratio $=h/w$



Interconnect (RC) Delay

On-Chip VLSI interconnects can be modeled as RC elements

- *R is the wire resistance =*

ρ is the resistivity of the metal
$$\rho \frac{L}{A} = \rho \frac{L}{w \cdot h}$$

L is the wire length

A is the cross sectional area = wh (w is the width and h is the height of the wire)

- *C is the wire capacitance. For a parallel plate capacitor*

$$C = \epsilon_d \frac{A}{d}, \epsilon_d = k \epsilon_0$$


A is the area of the plate

d is the distance between the plates

k is the dielectric constant of the insulating material between the plates

ϵ_0 is the permittivity of free space

VLSI Interconnect Structure




Adjacent wires are orthogonal....why?

C_{intra} is the dominating capacitance.....why?

Capacitance: The Parallel Plate Model

1-dimensional model

Valid when, $W \gg t_{di}$




$$C_{int} = \frac{\epsilon_{di}}{t_{di}} WL$$


Permittivity

Material	ϵ_r
Free space	1
Aerogels	~ 1.5
Polyimides (organic)	3-4
Silicon dioxide	3.9
Glass-epoxy (PC board)	5
Silicon Nitride (Si_3N_4)	7.5
Alumina (package)	9.5
Silicon	11.7

Fringing Capacitance Model



Approximates the capacitance as the sum of two components:



Fringing Cap.

In parallel with..


Parallel plate Cap.

$$C_{\text{wire}} = C_{\text{pp}} + C_{\text{fringe}} = \frac{w\epsilon_{di}}{t_{di}} + \frac{2\pi\epsilon_{di}}{\log(t_{di}/H)}$$

Orthogonal field
between a wire of width
 $w = (W - H/2)$ and the
ground plane

Modeled by a
cylindrical wire
of diameter = H

Fringing versus Parallel Plate




*Saturates to
~1pF/cm due to
fringing fields*

Note: aspect ratio = H/W


(from [Bakoglu89])

Interconnect



- Usually interconnect layers alternate wire direction

Impact of Interwire Capacitance



$W/H = 1.75$

- Reduce wire width, w
- Reduce spacing ($=w$)
- $C_{total} = C_{ground} + C_{interwire}$
- $C_{ground} = C_{p-p} + C_{fringing}$

Interwire cap. (crosstalk) becomes important in a multi-level structure


More imp. For higher level wires that are far away from substrates

(from [Bakoglu89])


Accurate Interconnect Capacitance Extraction

- ❑ Requires full 3-D electromagnetic simulation
- ❑ FASTCAP (from MIT) is a widely used tool
 - See K. Nabors and J. White, “FastCap: a multipole accelerated 3-D capacitance extraction program,” IEEE Trans. Computer-Aided Des. Integr. Circuits Syst., vol. 10, no. 11, pp. 1447–1459, Nov. 1991.
- ❑ Can be time consuming for complex interconnect topologies


Capacitances of Driver-Wire-Load




Simplified Model



Wire Models





All-inclusive model




Capacitance-only

The Lumped Capacitor Model

*Assuming no voltage drops along the wire....
i.e., wire is equipotential*



If r is small and switching frequencies are not too high...



Advantage: Operation can be described by ordinary differential equation

The Lumped Capacitor Model

Operation of a simple RC circuit :

$$C_{lumped} \frac{dV_{out}}{dt} + \frac{V_{out} - V_{in}}{R_{driver}} = 0$$

If a step input is applied from 0 to V :

$$V_{out}(t) = \left(1 - e^{-t/\tau}\right)V$$

where $\tau = R_{driver} C_{lumped}$: time – constant of network


The time to reach 50% point can be calculated as :

$$t = \ln(2)\tau = 0.69\tau$$

If $R_{driver} = 10\text{K}\Omega$ and $C_{lumped} = 11\text{pF}$:

$t = 76\text{ns}$ (a very large number for high – performance digital circuits)

Driver modeled as a voltage source and a source resistance (R_{driver}), $C_{lumped} = L \times c_{wire}$



Only impact on performance is due to the loading effect of the capacitor on the driving gate

The Lumped RC-Model


- On-chip metal wires at the semi-global and global tiers can be several millimeters long and can have significant resistance
- The equipotential assumption is no longer valid
- Need an RC model.....
- **A simple approach:** lump wire resistance of each segment into a single R and the global capacitance into a single C
 - This is called a lumped RC model....**pessimistic and inaccurate for long wires**
 - Should use a **distributed RC** model for such long wires

Then what is the use of the lumped RC model?

Lumped RC-Networks.....

- The **distributed RC model is complex**: involves partial differential equations, closed form solution does not exist
- However, the behavior of a distributed RC line can be **adequately modeled** by a simple RC network
- It is **more convenient** to reduce any driver-wire-load networks to an equivalent RC network and predict its first order response
- ***However, unlike the single R-C network analyzed earlier that had a single time constant (network pole), deriving the correct waveforms for a complex network becomes intractable***
 - Need to **solve a set of ordinary differential equations** with many time constants (poles and zeroes)....
 - Or, run time-consuming **SPICE simulations** for the entire network....

Elmore Delay Comes to the Rescue.....



RC Tree: unique resistive paths between s and any node i

Total resistance along this path is called the **path resistance** R_{ii}

e.g., path resistance between s and node 4:

$$R_{44} = R_1 + R_3 + R_4$$

Shared path resistance: resistance shared among the paths from root node s to nodes k and i


$$R_{ik} = \sum R_j \Rightarrow (R_j \in [path(s \rightarrow i) \cap path(s \rightarrow k)])$$

$$\text{Example: } R_{i4} = R_1 + R_3$$

$$R_{i2} = R_1$$

Elmore Delay-RC Chain (Branched)

W. C. Elmore, "The transient response of damped linear networks," J. Appl. Phys., vol. 19, pp. 55–63, Jan. 1948.




If a step input V_{in} is applied at $t=0$

Elmore Delay at node i : $\tau_{Di} = \sum_{k=1}^N C_k R_{ik}$

(First-order time constant of the network)

$N = \#$ of capacitances in the network

Wire Model



For a non-branched RC chain: $\tau_{DN} = \sum_{i=1}^N C_i R_{ii}$ $t_{Di} = C_1 R_1 + C_2 (R_1 + R_2) + \dots + C_i (R_1 + R_2 + \dots + R_i)$

If wire modeled by N equal-length segments ($R_{seg} = rL/N$, $C_{seg} = cL/N$)




$$\tau_{DN} = \left(\frac{L}{N}\right)^2 (rc + 2rc + \dots + Nrc) = (rcL^2) \frac{N(N+1)}{2N^2} = RC \frac{N+1}{2N}$$

For large values of N:

$$\tau_{DN} = \frac{RC}{2} = \frac{rcL^2}{2}$$

The Distributed RC-line


(can be approximated by the lumped RC network model)



$$rc \frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2}$$

$$\tau(V_{out}) = \frac{rc L^2}{2}$$

Step-response of RC wire as a function of time and space




RC-Models

Voltage Range	Lumped RC-network	Distributed RC-network
0→50% (t_p)	0.69 RC	0.38 RC
0→63% (τ)	RC	0.5 RC
10%→90% (t_r)	2.2 RC	0.9 RC

Step Response of Lumped and Distributed RC Networks:
Points of Interest.

SPICE Wire (RC) Models:

*accuracy of the model
determined by number of
stages*



RC Delay: Design Rules of Thumb

- rc delays should only be considered when $t_{pRC} \gg t_{pgate}$ of the driving gate


$$L_{crit} \gg \sqrt{t_{pgate}/0.38rc}$$

- rc delays should only be considered when the rise (fall) time at the line input is smaller than RC, the rise (fall) time of the line

$$t_{rise} < RC$$

- when not met, the change in the signal is slower than the propagation delay of the wire

Illustration of Rule: Driving an RC-line



$$R_w = r_w L$$

$$C_w = c_w L$$


Total Propagation Delay: $\tau_D = R_s C_w + \frac{R_w C_w}{2} = R_s C_w + 0.5 r_w c_w L^2$

Delay due to wire resistance becomes important when $(R_w C_w / 2) \geq R_s C_w$ or when $L \geq 2R_s / r_w$

0 to 50% Response Delay: $t_p = 0.69 R_s C_w + 0.38 R_w C_w$

Repeater Insertion


- Delay of wire increases quadratically with its length, L (delay = RC ...if unbuffered)
- **Repeater** (inverter) or **buffer** insertion results in lower delay increase....(delay = $t_{inv} + RC/2$...if one inverter is inserted at $L/2$)
- Repeater size and inter-repeater separation can be optimized to give minimal delay



(from [Bakoglu89])

Driver-Interconnect-Load Equivalent Circuit

- Interconnect segment of length l between two inverters



- V_{st} is the voltage at the input capacitance that controls the voltage source V_{tr}
- R_{tr} is the driver transistor resistance, C_p is output parasitic capacitance
- C_L is the load capacitance of next stage, r and c are interconnect resistance and capacitance per unit length respectively

Interconnect Scaling

Interconnect Scaling Scenarios

- Performance of a technology can be summarized by the time delay, t_d :

$$t_d = R_{tr} (C_P + C_L) + (R_{tr} c + r C_L) l + \frac{1}{2} r c l^2$$

intrinsic stage delay + load delay + interconnect delay

- If s is a scaling parameter: ratio of the feature size at a newer technology node to the feature size at an older node, then $s < 1$.
- Two simple scaling scenarios
 - scale metal pitch at constant thickness
 - scale metal pitch and the metal thickness

Interconnect Scaling

Implications of Technology Scaling on Technology Performance

- **Scaling metal pitch at constant thickness.**
 - load delay, line delay, and current density will scale as $1/s$, $1/s^2$, and $1/s$ respectively.
- **Scaling metal pitch and the metal thickness.**
 - load delay, line delay, and current density will scale as $1/s^2$, $1/s^2$, and $1/s^2$ respectively.
- **Hence, interconnect delay begin to dominate technology performance and current density increases with scaling.**

Interconnect Technology Scaling Trends

- Interconnect scaling requirements drive several technology enhancements:

- use of low-dielectric constant **(low-k) materials** lowers the interconnect capacitance per unit length (c) and therefore reduces the delay time.
- lower c helps in minimizing **cross-talk** noise.
- lower c also helps to reduce the **dynamic power** dissipation during switching of gates.
- lower interconnect resistance and higher current density requirements have driven the use of **new metallization**, such as Cu.

VLSI Interconnects

- ❑ Scaling of interconnects makes them slower, unlike scaling of devices
- ❑ **Local interconnects:** metal levels M1-M2, very densely packed (congested), follow transistor scaling, short distances (few μm)
- ❑ **Global interconnects:** top 3-4 metal levels (M7-M10) fewer such wires, more room for wide wires (not scaled aggressively), long distances (100s of μm or few mms)
- ❑ As devices switch faster, signal propagation delay on interconnects becomes appreciable fraction of intrinsic gate delay (at local/semi-global level) or much larger than gate delay (at global level)

Local Interconnects

- ❑ Delay is small (but increasing) fraction of intrinsic gate delay
 - R-C models suffice
- ❑ Nanometer scale effects – scattering at surfaces and grain boundaries makes resistivity increase with scaling dimensions
- ❑ Small cross-section, high current density, electromigration reliability big problem
 - Fundamental limitation of material
 - Using carbon nanotubes proposed to circumvent this limitation

Global Interconnects


- ❑ Delay is a major challenge – limits speed of critical signal transmission like clock
- ❑ Simple R-C models inaccurate
- ❑ Beyond 100um lengths, few GHz frequencies, R becomes comparable to ωL
- ❑ Electromagnetic interactions beyond immediate neighbors become important
- ❑ Impedance (R, L) frequency dependent (skin and proximity effects): no formulae; field solvers or heuristic calculations

Global Interconnects


- ❑ Thousands of large repeaters on-chip to control delay: power dissipation (clock network $\sim$ 60-70% of total chip power)
- ❑ **Power-optimal repeater insertion** to save power on non-critical signal lines
- ❑ **3-D ICs**: short ($\sim$ 50 μ m) vertical interconnects replace long (few mm) horizontal interconnects
- ❑ Long Carbon nanotube based interconnects expected to have better performance and power dissipation than Cu

Definition of Inductance (L)

L_{ij} = geometrical constant of proportionality between the magnetic flux through victim loop j and the current running on aggressor loop i causing it



$$L_{ij} = \frac{\Psi_{i \rightarrow j}}{I_i} = \frac{1}{I_i} \int_{S_j} \mathbf{B}^{(i)} \cdot d\mathbf{S}_j$$



$$L_{ij} = \frac{\mu_0}{4\pi} \oint_{C_j} \oint_{C_i} \frac{d\mathbf{\ell}_j \cdot d\mathbf{\ell}_i}{|\mathbf{r}_j - \mathbf{r}_i|}$$

On-Chip Inductance

- ❑ L starts to play a role at high GHz frequencies
- ❑ Effect increases for Cu: lower resistivity
- ❑ Can increase interconnect delay
- ❑ Can cause overshoot and undershoot effects
- ❑ Can cause switching noise: $L di/dt$ voltage drops

$$Z = R + j\omega L$$

inductance of a wire surrounded by a uniform dielectric:

$$C \ell = \epsilon \mu$$

c = cap. per unit length

l = inductance per unit length

From Maxwell's Laws:

$$v = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\ell c}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon \mu}} = \frac{c_0}{\sqrt{\epsilon_r \mu_r}}$$


v = propagation speed of EM wave

c₀ = speed of light in vacuum = 30 cm/ns

Accurate Interconnect Inductance Extraction

- ❑ Requires full 3-D electromagnetic simulation
- ❑ FASTHENRY (from MIT) is a widely used tool
 - See M. Kamon, M. J. Tsuk, and J. K. White, “FASTHENRY: A multipole-accelerated 3-D inductance extraction program,” IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Techn., vol. 42, pp. 1750–1758, Sept. 1994.
 - Can be time consuming for complex interconnect topologies
 - Has limitations at very high frequencies....

Wire Length Dependency of L Effects



$$l'_{min} = \frac{T_{rise} v}{2}$$

$$l'_{max} = \frac{2Z_0}{r}$$

Suaya et al., Advanced Metallization Conf. 2006

RLC Delay: Design Rules of Thumb

Wires in RLC domain:

$$t_{50\%} = \sqrt{LC} + \frac{\tau_{FO4}}{2} + 0.7Z_0C_{load} \quad \text{if}$$


$$\frac{rL}{Z_0} < 2\ln\left(\frac{4Z_0}{R_{driver} + Z_0}\right), \quad R_{driver} < 3Z_0$$

$$\text{where } Z_0 = \sqrt{l/c}$$

Also see: *A. Deutsch, et al., “When are transmission-line effects important for on-chip interconnections?,” IEEE Trans. Microwave Theory Tech., vol. 45, pp. 1836–1846, Oct. 1997.*

Frequency Dependence of R

- ❑ At high enough frequencies, R can increase due to **skin effect**
- ❑ Current flow constrained in a thin layer along the surface of the conductor: **R increases**
- ❑ The current falls off exponentially with depth into the interconnect
- ❑ **Skin depth**, δ is defined as the depth at which current falls off to a value of $1/e$ of its nominal value



$$\delta = \sqrt{\frac{\rho}{\pi f \mu}}$$

μ is the permeability of the surrounding dielectric

Resistance per unit length:

$$r(f) = \frac{\sqrt{\pi f \mu \rho}}{2(H+W)}, \quad \text{for } W, H \gg \delta$$

Skin Effect

- Onset of skin effect: at $f=f_s$,
 $\delta = 1/2 \times \text{smallest dimension}$

$$f_s = \frac{4\rho}{\pi\mu(\min(W,H))^2}$$

- For Cu wires:

Freq	1 GHZ	5 GHZ	10 GHZ	15 GHZ	20 GHZ
Skin depth (μm)	2.08	0.93	0.66	0.53	0.46